

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/521,000		03/07/2000	Julie T. Dawe	10991706-1	1135		
22879	7590 06/01/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
		CARD COMPANY	BRINICH, S	BRINICH, STEPHEN M			
		404 E. HARMONY R PROPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				2624	a .		
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	4 K		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Transmark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	,

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

12

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/521,000	DAWE ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	Stephen M Brinich	2624						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) 🔯 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	-						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-12,14-22 and 24-30</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10.  Other:								
	•							

## \* Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 1, 11, & 21 raise a new issue of patentability re the non-automatic (claim 1) or selective (claims 11 & 21) display of annotations. Applicant's arguments (Paper #11: page 9, line 16 - page 11, line 2) appear to be directed to this new issue of patentability. (Examiner notes that Applicant has highlighted sections of claims 1, 11, & 21 that include the proposed new recitations. While some language from the preceding versions of these claims is also highlighted, Applicant raises no specific argument concerning this language.)

STEPHEN BRINICH
PATENT EXAMINER

THOMAS D.

PERMAY LEE

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mandon